

Roe v Wade

by

Phil Rasmussen

For 50 years we have had to put up with a Supreme Court ruling that never should have been at the Federal level. SCOTUS now agrees that abortion is a state issue and not federal issue and is contemplating a decision to overturn Roe v Wade.

There is a lot of protest over this possible decision where protestors have taken things too far by threatening the conservative justices and their families. The problem is not the potential decision but rather the fact that the protestors do not understand what may occur and the impact of overturning Roe v Wade.

Most people believe two things about Roe v Wade. First is that it is a Constitutional right. And second that it “protects a pregnant woman’s liberty to choose to have an abortion without excessive government restriction.”

Both beliefs are incorrect!

The Constitution does not mention that a person has any medical rights. In fact the closest it comes to mentioning medical is with the president’s inability to carry out the duties of the presidency.

Some people may claim that the Constitution mentions the pursuit of happiness. It does not. That phrase is found in the Declaration of Independence. Be that as it may, it may be argued that having an abortion could be a pursuit of happiness for some women. However the baby within is the product of two people – the mother and the father. Under Roe v Wade the father’s desire are not even considered. Therefore any discussion or action for an abortion should include both parties whenever possible.

This leads into the second belief of protection from government restriction. Before and since Roe v Wade, there have been restrictions. For many, the greatest restriction deals with the time when an abortion cannot be done. For example some believe that a fetus can be aborted after birth while others say that it is when a heart beat is detected, and others say that it cannot be done once the fertilized egg starts to grow. The problem with trying to establish a time limit as to when an abortion can no longer be done is that there is no consensus on this issue. Even the most renowned scientists cannot agree when life starts. The reason is essentially that it is in God’s hands and not mankind.

From its beginning Roe v Wade was ill conceived. It never considered the increased promiscuity it has generated both within and outside of marriage, the advances in medicine with “day after” pills and other medical processes, the cost to taxpayers, nor did it consider that underage girls could get abortions without the parents ever know it.

Roe v Wade has outlived its usefulness with regard to abortion. However this landmark decision has one major aspect that can be used by those who do not want to be vaccinated for COVID or any other virus or disease.

Roe v Wade unlocked the doors for both men and women to refuse mandated medical procedures without due process. The decision reinforces the concept of due process found in several of amendments to the US Constitution.

With regard to overturning Roe v Wade, it is not as drastic as many on the left want us to believe. Most, if not all, states still have abortion laws on the books. Most states have either updated some of their laws and/or implemented new abortion restrictions.

Therefore all the protesting is not about overturning Roe v Wade but rather control of people by the government.

The downside to overturning Roe v Wade is that it opens the gates for our current government to create and implement illegal mandates and policies designed to control the people instead of the people controlling government.

One current example is the “Disinformation Governance Board,” created by DHS secretary Mayorkas. This is nothing more than another ill conceived move by the Biden administration to control (censor) the First Amendment’s right to FREE SPEECH.

Every Coin Has Two Faces
Be Careful of What You Wish For
Always Ask – What Are The Consequences?